PTO/SB/21 (02-04) Approved for use through 07/31/2006. OMB 0651-0031 U.S. Patent and Trademark Office; U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number. Application Number 10/051.011 **TRANSMITTAL** Filing Date 1/22/2002 **FORM** First Named Inventor Guerin D. Rife Art Unit (to be used for all correspondence after initial filing) 3711 Examiner Name Duong 1 Attorney Docket Number

Tot	al Number of Pages in This Submission	<u></u>		Kir-i i				
ENOLOGUEGO CONTRACTOR DE LA CONTRACTOR D								
ENCLOSURES (Check all that apply)								
V	Fee Transmittal Form		Drawing(s)			After Allowance communication to Technology Center (TC)		
	Fee Attached Amendment/Reply After Final Affidavits/declaration(s) Extension of Time Request Express Abandonment Request Information Disclosure Statement Certified Copy of Priority Document(s) Response to Missing Parts/ Incomplete Application	Rem	Licensing-related Pap Petition Petition to Convert to Provisional Applicatio Power of Attorney, Re Change of Correspon Terminal Disclaimer Request for Refund CD, Number of CD(s) narks	a evocation dence Address		Appeal Communication to Board of Appeals and Interferences Appeal Communication to TC (Appeal Notice, Brief, Reply Brief) Proprietary Information Status Letter Other Enclosure(s) (please Identify below):		
	under 37 CFR 1.52 or 1.53							
	SIGNA	TURE	OF APPLICANT,	ATTORNEY, C	R AG	ENT		
Firm John L. Welsh or Individual name								
Signature / / / /								
Date 0724/04								
		EDTIE	ICATE OF TRANS	MISSION/MAI	LING			
\longleftarrow		LKIII	TOATE OF TRAINS	MISSICITATION	LING			
I hereby certify that this correspondence is being facsimile transmitted to the USPTO or deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 on the date shown below.								
Typed	or printed name							

This collection of information is required by 37 CFR 1.5. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.14. This collection is estimated to 2 hours to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, U.S. Department of Commerce, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

Signature

Telephone 7039201122

6/24/04

PTO/SB/17 (10-03)
Approved for use through 07/31/2006. OMB 0651-0032
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office; U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

er the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number.

FEE TRANSMITTAL for FY 2004

Effective 10/01/2003. Patent fees are subject to annual revision.

Applicant claims small entity status. See 37 CFR 1.27

TOTAL AMOUNT OF PAYMENT (\$) 165

Name (Print/Type)

Signature

John L.

Welsh

Complete if Known						
Application Number	10/051,011					
Filing Date	1/22/2002					
First Named Inventor	Guerin D. Rife					
Examiner Name	Duong					
Art Unit	3711					
Attorney Docket No.	RIF-114					

METHOD OF PAYMENT (check all that apply)	FEE CALCULATION (continued)							
X Check Credit card Money Other None	3. ADDITIONAL FEES							
	Large Entity Small Entity							
	Fee Fee Fee Fee Fee Description							
Deposit Account 01-2221		e Paid						
Number Deposit	1051 130 2051 65 Surcharge - late filing fee or oath							
Account Welsh & FlaxmanLLC	1052 50 2052 25 Surcharge - late provisional filing fee or cover sheet							
Name The Director is authorized to: (check all that apply)	1053 130 1053 130 Non-English specification							
Charge fee(s) indicated below X Credit any overpayments	1812 2,520 1812 2,520 For filing a request for ex parte reexamination							
X Charge any additional fee(s) or any underpayment of fee(s)	1804 920* 1804 920* Requesting publication of SIR prior to Examiner action							
Charge fee(s) indicated below, except for the filing fee	1805 1,840° 1805 1,840° Requesting publication of SIR after Examiner action	1						
to the above-identified deposit account.	1251 110 2251 55 Extension for reply within first month							
FEE CALCULATION	1252 420 2252 210 Extension for reply within second month							
1. BASIC FILING FEE	1253 950 2253 475 Extension for reply within third month							
Large Entity Small Entity Fee Fee Fee Fee Description Fee Paid	1254 1,480 2254 740 Extension for reply within fourth month							
Code (\$) Code (\$)								
1001 770 2001 385 Utility filing fee		•						
1002 340 2002 170 Design filing fee	1401 330 2401 165 Notice of Appeal	165						
1003 530 2003 265 Plant filing fee	1402 000 2402 100 1 ming a biter in dapport of an appear	103						
1004 770 2004 385 Reissue filing fee	1403 290 2403 145 Request for oral hearing							
1005 160 2005 80 Provisional filing fee	1451 1,510 1451 1,510 Petition to institute a public use proceeding							
SUBTOTAL (1) (\$)	1452 110 2452 55 Petition to revive - unavoidable							
2. EXTRA CLAIM FEES FOR UTILITY AND REISSUE	1453 1,330 2453 665 Petition to revive - unintentional							
Fee from	1501 1,550 2501 605 Outily issue lee (of leissue)							
Extra Claims below Fee Paid Total Claims 20** = X	1 · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·							
Total Claims -20** = X = X	1503 640 2503 320 Plant issue fee							
Claims -3"=	1 1460 130 1460 130 Petitions to the Commissioner							
' ' <u></u>	1807 50 1807 50 Processing fee under 37 CFR 1.17(q)							
Large Entity Small Entity Fee Fee Fee Fee Fee Fee Fee Description	1806 180 1806 180 Submission of Information Disclosure Stmt							
Code (\$) Code (\$)	8021 40 8021 40 Recording each patent assignment per property (times number of properties)							
1202 18 2202 9 Claims in excess of 20 1201 86 2201 43 Independent claims in excess of 3	1809 770 2809 385 Filing a submission after final rejection (37 CFR 1.129(a))							
1203 290 2203 145 Multiple dependent claim, if not paid	1810 770 2810 385 For each additional invention to be							
1204 86 2204 43 ** Reissue independent claims over original patent	examined (37 CFR 1.129(b)) 1801 770 2801 385 Request for Continued Examination (RCE)							
1205 18 2205 9 ** Reissue claims in excess of 20	1802 900 1802 900 Request for expedited examination							
and over original patent	of a design application Other fee (specify)							
SUBTOTAL (2) (\$)	Other fee (specify) *Reduced by Basic Filing Fee Paid SUBTOTAL (3) (\$) 1.5.5							
**or number previously paid, if greater; For Reissues, see above *Reduced by Basic Filing Fee Paid SUBTOTAL (3) (\$) 165								
SUBMITTED BY (Complete (if applicable))								

WARNING: Information on this form may become public. Credit card information should not be included on this form. Provide credit card information and authorization on PTO-2038.

This collection of information is required by 37 CFR 1.17 and 1.27. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.14. This collection is estimated to take 12 minutes to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, U.S. Department of Commerce, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

Registration No.

33,621



IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Application of:

Guerin D. Rife

Serial No.: 10/051,011

Filed : 1/22/2002

Title : IRON TYPE GOLF CLUB

Group Art Unit: 3711

Examiner: Duong

APPEAL BRIEF

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks Washington, D.C. 20231

Sir:

REAL PARTY IN INTEREST

Guerin D. Rife is the real party of interest in the above-referenced patent application.

RELATED APPEALS AND INTERFERENCES

Neither Appellant's representative nor Appellant are aware of any related appeals and/or interferences affected by or having a bearing on the Board's decision in the pending appeal.

STATUS OF CLAIMS

Claims 61-63 and 66-72 are currently pending in the above-referenced application. Appellant accordingly appeals the Examiner's final rejection of Claims 61-63 and 66-72 which is as follows:

06/30/2004 CNGUYEN 00000127 10051011

01 FC:2402

165.00 OP

- 1. Claims 61-63, 66, 68 and 71-72 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. §102(b), as being anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as obvious over U.S. Patent No. 4,645,207 to Teramoto et al. ("Teramoto").
- 2. Claims 67, 69 and 70 stand are rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a), as being unpatentable over Teramoto in view of U.S. Patent Nos. 5,048,835 to Gorman ("Gorman") and 5,390,924 to Antonious ("Antonious").

STATUS OF AMENDMENTS

All Amendments are currently entered in the application.

SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

The present invention is an iron type golf club head having a club head body and a hossel for connection to a shaft. The body includes a heel toe ball striking face top ridge surface and bottom sole. The rear surface of club head is formed with upper and lower section, the upper and lower sections extending entirely across the rear surface from adjacent the heel the adjacent to toe. The upper portion extends downwardly toward the bottom sole to a point approximately midway between the top ridge and the bottom sole. The lower portion is located below the upper portion and extends upwardly toward the top ridge to a point approximately midway between the bottom sole and the top ridge. The lower portion includes an elongated cavity extending between the heel and the toe, but is located solely in the lower portion of the rear surface. The lower portion is further defined as having a thickness between the lower surface of the cavity and the ball striking

face that is thinner than the thickness between the non-cavity upper portion of the club head and the ball striking face. The golf club head is further defined wherein the elongated cavity is formed with a lower surface, bottom side wall, toe side wall, heel side wall and upper side wall, wherein these walls define the outer perimeter of the cavity. The iron type golf club head is still further defined by the upper portion of the rear surface being adjacent to the top edge of the perimeter weighted cavity and the lower portion of the rear surface. The iron type golf club head still further includes a mask extending in the rear toe direction between the upper portion and the elongated perimeter weighted cavity in the lower portion. Still another feature of the iron type golf club head is a ledge which may be coincident with a top edge of the elongated perimeter weighted cavity. A still further variation of the invention is the use of an insert material located in the elongated perimeter weighted cavity. Another variation is an iron type golf club head having a weighted mask on the rear surface located within the elongated perimeter weighted cavity. Another variation of the iron type golf club head includes bottom and side wall surfaces extending up to 2/3 the distance between the top ridge and the bottom sole formed in the cavity.

ISSUES

- 1. Whether Claims 61, 62, 63, 66, 68, 71 and 72 are anticipated under 35 U.S.C. §102(b) or obvious under 103(a) based upon the disclosure of Teramoto.
- 2. Whether Claims 67, 69 and 70 are unpatentable under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) based upon the combination of Teramoto, Gorman and Antonious.

GROUPING OF THE CLAIMS

Claims 61, 62, 63, 66 and 72 stand or fall together.

Claims 67 and 70 stand or fall alone.

Claims 68 and 71 stand or fall together.

Claim 69 stands or falls alone.

ARGUMENTS

I. Claims 61, 62, 63, 66 and 72 are not anticipated under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) by Teramoto

Independent claims 61 and 62 call for a club head with a rear surface having an upper and lower portion extending across the rear surface from the heel to the toe. The claims also call for a heel to toe cavity in the lower portion of the club head. The claims further call for the thickness between the bottom cavity wall and clubface to be thinner than the thickness of the upper portion of the clubhead.

The law governing rejections under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) dictates that "[a] claim is anticiapted only if each and every element as set forth in the claim is found, either expressly or inherently described, in a single prior art reference." *Verdegaal Bros. V. Union Oil Co. of California*, 814 F.2d 628, 631, 2 USPQ2d 1051, 1053 (Fed. Cir. 1987). "The identical invention must be shown in as complete detail as is contained in the ... claim." *Richardson u Suzuki Motor Co.*, 868 F.2d 1226, 1236, 9 USPQ2d 1913, 1920 (Fed. Cir. 1989). The elements must be arranged as required by the claim, but this is not an ipsissimis verbis test, i.e. identity of terminology is not required. *In re Bond*, 910 F.2d 831m 15 USPQ2d 1566 (Fed. Cir. 1990).

Teramoto is directed to a set of golf clubs wherein the clubhead includes a hollow portion for the lower lofted irons which gradually decreases in the higher lofted irons, being eliminated totally in the cross-section of a club shown in Figure 3(c). This concept has little or nothing to do with Appellant's invention where the relative size of the upper and lower portion, including the cavity formed therein, remains proportionately the same. There is no disclosure in Teramoto that the upper and lower portions extend from heel to toe. Rather Teramoto shows only a single cross-section which one can assume is in the center of the club as no section line is shown. One must assume the hollow structure is larger than a narrow slit since there is no disclosure of the width of the hollow portion. Furthermore, the thickness of the cavity to clubface is essentially the same at the upper portion of the clubhead contrary to the Examiner's position - See Fig. 4a where the upper portion is clearly the same thickness as the cavity portion.

Therefore, the 35 U.S.C. §102(b) rejection fails as Teramoto does not disclose all of the claimed limitations of Applicant's claims.

II. <u>Claims 61, 62, 63 and 72 are not obvious</u> under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) in view of Teramoto

As discussed above, Teramoto does not disclose the size, shape or location of the upper and lower portions of the rear cavity. Furthermore, there is no suggestion or teaching that Teramoto includes a structure that anticipates Appellant's claims. Teramoto discusses only the size of the hollow from the longest to the shortest clubs and this feature is not relevant to Appellant's invention. Thus without a teaching, the structure of Appellant's claims cannot be deemed obvious and, therefore, the rejection under 35 U.S.C. §103 (a) fails and should be withdrawn.

III. Claims 67 and 70 are not rendered obvious by the combination of Teramoto, Gorman or Antonious

Claim 67 calls for an iron club head having a mass extending in a heel to toe direction between the upper portion and the cavity. Refer to Appellant's Figures 9 and 10. None of the references show such a mass structure. Teramoto shows a bulge in Figure 4a with no description as to whether the bulge extends across the rear surface.

IV. Claims 68 and 71 are not anticipated by the disclosure of Teramoto

Claims 68 and 71 calls for a ledge coincident with the bottom of the upper portion and the top of the lower portion. Refer to Appellant's Figure 22. Teramoto does not show or anticipated the claimed structure.

V. <u>Claim 69 is not rendered obvious by the combination of Teramoto, Goman and Antonious</u>

Claim 69 calls for an insert material in the cavity. Refer to Appellant's Figures 14 and 15.

The Examiner takes the position that it would be obvious to place an insert in the elongated cavity but offers no teaching to support this position. One cannot simply take official notice of a claimed feature without some teaching to support it.

VI. Conclusion

In conclusion, Appellant has shown that the references cited in the Office Action neither disclose nor suggest the claimed golf club head. Therefore, it is respectfully requested that all of the rejections outstanding in this case be reversed.

Respectfully submitted,

John L. Welsh

Registration No. 33,621 Attorney for Appellant

WELSH & FLAXMAN, LLC 2450 Crystal Drive Suite 112 Arlington, VA 22202 (703) 920-1122

Docket No. RIF-114



APPENDIX

CLAIMS ON APPEAL

61. An iron type golf club head having a club head body and means for connection to a shaft; said club head body including a heel, toe, a ball striking face, a top ridge surface and bottom sole surface, wherein the improvement comprises:

a rear surface having an upper portion and a lower portion; said upper and lower portion extending entirely across said rear surface from adjacent said heel to adjacent said toe; said upper portion extending downwardly toward said bottom sole approximately midway between said top ridge and said bottom sole; said lower portion located below said upper portion and extending upwardly toward said top ridge approximately midway between said bottom sole and said top ridge; said lower portion including a heel to toe, elongated cavity located solely in said lower portion of said rear surface; said lower portion having a thickness between the lower surface of said elongated cavity and said ball striking face that is thinner than the thickness between the non-cavity upper portion and said ball striking face.

An iron type golf club head having a club head body and means for connection to a shaft; said club head body including a heel, toe, a ball striking face, a top ridge surface and bottom sole surface, wherein the improvement comprises:

a rear surface having separate upper and lower portions; said upper portion and said lower portion extending across said rear surface from adjacent said heel to adjacent said toe; said upper portion extending downwardly toward said bottom sole approximately one-third the

distance between said top ridge and said bottom sole;

said lower portion located below said upper portion and said lower portion being within an approximate lower two-thirds of said rear surface in a direction between said bottom sole and said top ridge; the one third upper portion and the two thirds lower portion relationship being maintained entirely across said rear surface from adjacent said heel to adjacent said toe;

said lower portion including a heel to toe, elongated, perimeter weighted cavity located solely in said lower portion of said rear surface; said cavity extending from adjacent said heel to adjacent said toe;

said upper portion being further defined as a non-perimeter weighted, blade type iron structure, having a generally uniform thickness from said heel to said toe;

said lower portion having a thickness becoming progressively thicker as it extends from the upper portion toward said bottom sole;

said club head having a thickness between said elongated cavity and said ball striking face that is thinner than the thickness between the non-cavity upper portion and said ball striking face.

- The iron type golf club head of claim 62 wherein said elongated, perimeter weighted cavity is formed with a lower surface, a bottom side wall, a toe side wall, a heel side wall and an upper side wall; said walls defining the outer perimeter of said elongated cavity.
 - 66. The iron type golf club head of claim 62 wherein the said upper portion of said rear surface is adjacent to a top edge of said elongated, perimeter weighted cavity in said lower portion of said rear surface.

- 67. The iron type golf club head of claim 62 wherein said rear surface further includes a mass extending in a heel to toe direction between said upper portion and said elongated, perimeter weighted cavity in said lower portion of said rear surface.
- 68. The iron type golf club head of claim 62 wherein a bottom edge of said upper portion is defined by a ledge coincident with a top edge of said elongated, perimeter weighted cavity.
- 69. The iron type golf club head of claim 62 wherein an insert material is located within said elongated, perimeter weighted cavity.
- 70. The iron type golf club head of claim 62 further including a weighted mass on said rear surface located within said elongated, perimeter weighted cavity.
- 71. The iron type golf club head of claim 62 further including a ledge coincident with a top edge of said elongated cavity.
- The iron type club head of claim 62 wherein said perimeter weight formed by said cavity includes a bottom wall and side walls extending up to two thirds the distance between said top ridge and said bottom sole.